Evaluation of Portland Limestone Cement

Two MS students wanted to do a special problems. We had some control mixtures (TDOT Class A & D) from a TCA project to evaluate beneficiated fly ash and thought we could use the control mixtures to evaluate the effect of PLC on common TDOT mixtures (A & D). Six 0.85-ft³ batches per mixture will be used for the comparison. Testing protocol is shown in Table 2. The results will be compared statistically.

Table 1: Mixtures Used to Evaluate PLC

Component	TDOT Class A	TDOT Class A	TDOT Class D	TDOT Class D
	Control	PLC	Control	PLC
Type I PC,	423	0	465	0
(lbs/CY)				
PLC (lbs/CY)	0	423*	0	465*
Fly Ash, (lbs/CY)	141	141	155	155
No. 57 Stone,	1747	1747*	1849	1849*
(SSD lbs/CY)				
River Sand, (SSD	1270	1270*	1112	1112*
lbs/CY)				
Water, (lbs/CY)	242.5	242.5	229.5	229.5
Design Percent	6	6	7	7
Air				
Air Entrainer,	2.5	TBD	2.8	TBD
(oz/cwt)				
Mid-Range Water	7.6	TBD	3.1	TBD
Reducer, (oz/cwt)				
High-Range	0	TBD	4.5	TBD
Water Reducer,				
(oz/cwt)				

^{* -} depending on PLC specific gravity

Table 2: Test Methods and Number of Samples Used to Evaluate PLC

Purpose	Test Method	Frequency	Specimens
Compressive	Compressive Strength	3 @ 7, 14, 28 and	4 x 8 cylinders
strength	(AASHTO T22)	56 days	-
development		-	
Stiffness	Static Modulus of	1 of 3 @ 28 and	4 x 8 cylinders
development	Elasticity (ASTM C 469)	56 days	
Chloride	Surface Resistivity	3 @ 7, 14, 28, and	Use 56-day 4 x 8
permeability	(AASHTO T 95-11)	56 days	cylinders for these
development			tests
Freeze-thaw	Hardened Concrete	3 @ 56 days	3 x 6 cylinders
Durability Indicator	Absorption (ASTM C642)		